

Wydział Filologiczny

Collegium Maius
Pl. M. Kopernika 11 45-040 Opole
tel. +48 77 54 15 939
dz-fil@uni.opole.pl
wfil.uni.opole.pl

ENGLISH PHILOLOGY TEACHER TRAINING PROGRAMME ACADEMIC PROFILE FULL-TIME AND PART-TIME MA STUDIES YEAR 2

Level: C2 (Common European Framework of Reference for Languages) Exam: Self-study

Admission criteria:	Credits in courses:
Admission criteria:	Academic Language Skills: Reading, Writing and Speaking 3
	Academic Language Skills: Listening and Structures 3
Exam objectives:	Verification of students' C2-Level language proficiency with respect to the four
	language skills, i.e. writing, listening, reading, and speaking, with special attention
	to academic style in writing and speech
Exam structure:	Five parts: Part 1 is an essay administered within the last class of the course
	Academic Language Skills: Reading, writing and speaking 3; Parts 2, 3, and 4
	constitute an integrated test; Part 5 is a group discussion on an academic topic related with the graduate proseminar's field of study
	related with the graduate proseninal 3 field of study
(1) Writing	Writing:
	Focus: justifying academic importance of a selected issue, correct application of
	academic style devices; Testing technique: essay
(2) Listening Comprehension	<u>Listening Comprehension:</u>
	Focus: matching and completing information from texts; Testing technique:
	multiple choice, gap filling, matching test
(3) Reading Comprehension	Reading Comprehension:
	Focus: matching and filling the information from texts; testing technique:
	multiple choice, gap filling, matching test
(4) Use of English	English in Use:
	Focus: vocabulary, grammar, spelling, punctuation, word formation, unity of the
	text, language register; Testing technique: multiple choice, gap filling, matching
	test, error identification
(5) Speaking	Speaking:
	Focus: grammatical correctness, pronunciation, stylistic unity and lexical variety
	in speech, interactive communication, academic discourse; Testing technique: group discussion with limited participation of the examiner.
	group discussion with innited participation of the examiner.
Scoring and grading:	Each part of the exam has the weighted value of 20 percent of the total, which is
	calculated by adding partial scores. Final grades are granted according to the
	following evaluation criteria: grade 2,0 (fail): o – 59%
	grade 3,0 (average): 60 – 68%
	grade 3,5 (plus average): 69 – 76%
	grade 4,0 (good): 77 – 84%
	grade 4,5 (plus good): 85 – 92% grade 5,0 (very good): 93 – 100%
Reading list:	Abdulaziz, H. T., & A.D. Stover. (1989). <i>Academic challenges in reading</i> .
	Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
	Bourdieu, P., JC. Passeron & M. de Saint Martin. (1996) Academic
	discourse. Stanford: Stanford University Press. Hinkel, E. (2004). Teaching academic ESL writing. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence
	Erlbaum
	Jones, L. (2004). New progress to proficiency: Self-study student's book.
	Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
	Swales, J.M., & Feak Ch.B. (1994). <i>Academic writing for graduate students</i> . Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.
	stauents. Ann Arbot. The University of Wichigan Fless.